#### Śrī Brhad-bhāgavatāmrta

### by Śrīla Sanātana Gosvāmī

#### Volume - 2

### Śrī-goloka-māhātmya

#### The Glories of Goloka



### Jagad-ānanda

#### The Bliss of the Worlds



Text 127 tato bhaktir bhagavati putrī-bhūte janārdane dam-patyor nitarām āsīd gopa-gopīșu bhārata

"Thereafter (tataḥ), O Mahārāja Parīksit, best of the Bhāratas (bhārata), when the Supreme Personality of Godhead (janārdane) became the son (putrī-bhūte) of Nanda Mahārāja and Yaśodā (dampatyoḥ), they attained (āsīd) perfectly fixed (nitarām) devotional love (bhaktiḥ) for Him (bhagavati), and so also did all the other inhabitants of Vrndāvana, the gopas and gopīs (gopa-gopīṣu)." To explain why Nanda and Yaśodā were able to drink so fully from the nectar ocean of Śrī Kṛṣṇa's all-auspicious childhood pastimes, Śukadeva Gosvāmī answered the question from Parīkṣit Mahārāja by recounting how in a previous birth Nanda and Yaśodā were blessed by Lord Brahmā to achieve the highest devotion for Kṛṣṇa.

In this verse (Bhāgavatam 10.8.51), Śukadeva concludes that description.

Because Brahmā is a first-class devotee, by his benediction Nanda and Yaśodā developed perfect love for the Supreme Personality of Godhead Janārdana, the annihilator of demons and other wicked persons, and the Lord assumed the role of their son.

Kṛṣṇa is also called Janārdana because all people (janāḥ) ha<u>nker with ardor (ardanti) to achieve from Him what they</u> imagine to be important in life. Nanda and Yaśodā, who considered Kṛṣṇa alone important, were able to obtain Him as their son.

Here the grammatical form of the expression putrī-bhūte indicates something that has become what it was not before.

He who is the Supreme Truth, Supreme Soul, and Supreme Lord cannot be the born son of anyone, yet because He understood that the highest mode of devotion to Him cannot develop unless He becomes the son of His devotees, He became the child of Nanda and Yaśodā. By doing this, He displayed His superexcellent qualities, especially His selfless concern for His devotees.

## By Brahmā's benediction, the devotion of Nanda and Yaśodā became irrevocably fixed (nitarām āsīt).

All the gopas and gopis of Vraja have great love for Kṛṣṇa, but the love shown by Nanda and Yaśodā is greater than that of everyone else, at least in the context of Kṛṣṇa's early childhood. Later, of course, when Kṛṣṇa reaches the paugaṇḍa age, and especially the kaiśora age, the ultimate form of love for Him becomes revealed in the young gopīs.

The gopīs' mādhurya-rasa, the most precious of all devotional jewels, begins manifesting itself slightly even during Kṛṣṇa's bālya years.

As Parīksit Mahārāja continues reciting verses from Śrīmad-Bhāgavatam, he gradually comes to this point of realization, in particular when he speaks the verses describing Uddhava's visit to Vrndavana. In the present verse, Śrī Śukadeva addresses Parīkṣit as a descendant of the eminent Bhārata dynasty, implying that Parīkṣit himself should now be able to answer his own question as to how Nanda and Yaśodā attained the perfection of having Kṛṣṇa as their son.

The answer to that question is as follows: In a previous life, Śrī Devakī and Vasudeva worshiped the Supreme Lord with the desire to obtain a son like Him. T<u>he Lord bestowed upon them that specific blessing by</u> pledging to become their son in three births.

Śr<u>ī</u> Nanda and Yaśodā, however, prayed to render the best devotional service to Krsna, and it was Brahmā who awarded the fulfillment of their prayer.

Thus the Personality of Godhead demonstrated that a benediction from His devotee is more valuable than one directly from Him.

By descending to earth in Vraja-bhūmi, Krsna expanded His supremely attractive childhood pastimes for the benefit of His parents Nanda and Yaśodā, and for the benefit of everyone related to them.

The ecstatic devotion for Kṛṣṇa those pastimes evoked eclipses the importance of the four great goals of life.



# The address "O Bhārata" also implies that Parīkṣit Mahārāja should already be aware of this.



Text 128 <u>nandaḥ sva-putram ādāya</u> <u>proṣyāgatam udāra-dhīḥ</u> <u>mūrdhny avaghrāya paramām</u> <u>mudam lebhe kurūdvaha</u>

O Mahārāja Parīkṣit, best of the Kurus (kurūdvaha), Nanda Mahārāja was very liberal and simple (nandaḥ udāra-dhīḥ). He immediately took his son Kṛṣṇa on his lap (sva-putram ādāya) as if Krsna had returned from death (pretyāgatam), and by formally smelling his son's head (mūrdhny upāghrāya), Nanda Mahārāja undoubtedly enjoyed transcendental blīss (paramām mudam lebhe). Vasudeva may also be able to claim Krsna as his son, but Nanda has an even greater right to consider Kṛṣṇa sva-putra, his own son.

This verse (Bhāgavatam 10.6.43) tells of Nanda Mahārāja's special glories.

After Nanda paid taxes to Kamsa and returned home from Madhu-purī, he discovered that Kṛṣṇa had killed the demoness Pūtanā. Nanda was overjoyed to see his son alive and safe from near calamity.

Though the Vraja-vāsīs, astonished to see the great Rākṣasī killed by Kṛṣṇa, couldn't help but wonder what kind of powerful being Kṛṣṇa really was, this heightened awareness of Kṛṣṇa's greatness did not at all undermine Nanda Mahārāja's firm conviction that Kṛṣṇa was nothing more or less than his own dependent son. His affection for Kṛṣṇa was too pure to be weakened by any distraction.

When Nanda arrived home, he met Kṛṣṇa, to<u>ok Him on h</u>is lap, and embraced Him with enthusiasm.

In ecstasy, Nanda smelled the hair on his son's head and felt the highest limit of happiness (paramām mudām).



Nanda Mahārāja deserves such pleasure because he is udāradhīḥ, a very saintly and generous devotee.

On the occasion of Kṛṣṇa's name-giving ceremony, for example, he gave away vast amounts of charity.

The word udāra-dhīh also means that he is very intelligent.

After all, didn't he disregard all other possible benedictions an<u>d ask Brahmā only for the boon of exclusive devotion for</u> Kṛṣṇa?

<u>One might question why this verse singles out the good</u> fortune of Nanda Mahārāja, since Mother Yaśodā had also prayed for devotion to Kṛṣṇa and had shared equally in Lord Brahmā's blessing. In a previous life, as Droņa and Dharā, Nanda and Yaśodā had requested Brahmā:

j<u>ā</u>tayor nau mahā-deve b<u>huvi viśveśvare harau</u> b<u>haktih syāt paramā loke</u> yayāñjo durgatim taret

Drona and Dharā said: Please permit us to be born on the planet earth (jātayor nau bhuvi) so that after our appearance, the Supreme Lord, the Personality of Godhead, the supreme controller and master of all planets (viśveśvare harau), will also appear and spread devotional service (bhaktih syāt), the ultimate goal of life (paramā), so that those born in this material world (loke) may very easily be delivered from the miserable condition of materialistic life by accepting this devotional service (yayā añjah durgatim taret). (Bhāgavatam 10.8.49) Since both Nanda and Yaśodā, in a previous life, had together offered the same prayer, shouldn't they both have the same ecstasy?

Th<u>e verse above from Brhad-bhāgavatāmrta highlights the ecstasy</u> sh<u>own by Nanda Mahārāja after the killing of Pūtanā, but wasn't</u> Mother Yaśodā's ecstasy just like his?

Or since a mother normally loves her son even more than the child's father does, shouldn't her love have been even greater than his?





Nanda had just returned from an extended trip to Mathurā City.

Upon coming home from a distant journey, one only naturally feels special love for dear ones he has not seen for some time and feels extra eagerness and happiness.

Śrī Yaśodā always felt that high level of ecstatic affection.

Actually, th<u>e love of great devotees of the Lord like Nanda and</u> Yaśodā is always fully developed to its ultimate limit; it can never diminish or increase. Thus the constant love Nanda Mahārāja has for Kṛṣṇa could not have changed into something new on his return from Mathurā.

Only in imitation of ordinary family relationships does it appear to have changed.

Or, looking at this a different way, the rare treasure of pure prema constantly gives rise to all varieties of endearing emotions, perceived as newer and newer at every moment. This distinguishes the ecstasy of prema from the joy of mere liberation.

Nanda Mahārāja's apparent momentary increase in love for his son was simply a special ecstasy occasioned by the circumstance of his coming home.



Because of mother Yaśodā's hard labor, her whole body became covered with perspiration (sva-mātuḥ svinna-gātrāyā), and the flowers and comb were falling from her hair (visrasta-kabara-srajaḥ). When child Kṛṣṇa saw His mother thus fatigued (dṛṣṭvā pariśramaṃ kṛṣṇaḥ), He became merciful to her and agreed to be bound (kṛpayā āsīt sva-bandhane). This verse and the next (Bhāgavatam 10.9.18, 20) glorify Mother Yaśodā, who is more advanced in Krsna consciousness than even Nanda Mahārāja.

O<u>ne morning she wanted to churn butter herself for her son</u> K<u>ṛṣṇ</u>a, who was very fond of fresh butter.

With great love in her heart, she set about the laborious task of churning from the previous day's yogurt.

Just then, however, Kṛṣṇa rose from His sleep and demanded her breast milk.

She began to feed Him while continuing to churn, but He again interfered by grabbing the churning rod.

And then she noticed that the milk she had put on the stove was boiling over.

So although Kṛṣṇa had not finished drinking, she quickly put Him aside to run to the kitchen.

When she came back, after a moment, she found that the churning pot had a hole in its bottom, obviously made by the nearby grinding stone.

And Kṛṣṇa had disappeared.

She looked around and found Him inside the house, and as she watched unseen from the corridor she saw Him sitting on a large upturned mortar, stealing butter and feeding it to young monkeys.

She picked up a stick and came up to Him from behind, but He ran away, making her chase Him around before she finally caught up to Him.

Seizing her crying son, she scolded Him sharply, but then, not wanting to frighten Him too much, she threw the stick away.

Still, she wanted to do the right thing for His benefit, so she decided to punish Him by tying Him up.

She tied a rope around His waist, (but as people know throughout the world,) the rope was too short by just two finger-widths.

She tried tying together all the ropes she could find in the house, but still the rope remained short by the same length.

Seeing this astonished her.

## Finally Kṛṣṇa, cho<u>osing to reward His mother for her</u> strenuous devotional effort, agreed to let her bind Him.

Although Krsna's all-spiritual body is beyond the limitations of time and space and the individuality of objects, He responded mercifully to the strenuous labor of His mother. H<u>e</u> cannot be bound by anyone, but in His exceptional mercy He allowed Himself to be tied.

Taking the alternative reading sva-mātuh ("His own mother") instead of sa mātuh, we get the idea that Yaśodā is eternally established in this intimate relationship with Him and so she certainly deserves His special mercy.

When she tried to bind Him, Kṛṣṇa submitted to her effort because of her exalted love, which was evident externally by the perspiration on her limbs and by the falling of the flowers from her hair.



Text 130 <u>nemam viriñco na bhavo</u> na śrīr apy aṅga-saṁśrayā prasādaṁ lebhire gopī yat tat prāpa vimukti-dāt

Lo<u>rd Brahmā</u>, <u>nor Lord Śiva (na viriñco na bhavo)</u>, no<u>r even Neither</u> the goddess of fortune (<u>na śrīr apy</u>), who is always the better half of the Supreme Lord (anga-samśrayā), can obtain (<u>lebhire</u>) from the Supreme Personality of Godhead, the deliverer from this material world (<u>vimuktidāt</u>), suc<u>h mercy (imam prasādam</u>) as received by mother Yaśodā (yat tat prapa gopī). While describing the good fortune of Mother Yaśodā, Śrīlą Śukadeva Gosvāmī became more and more amazed, and the hairs on his body stood on end.

Al<u>though many devotees of Kṛṣṇa obtain Kṛṣṇa's favor, th</u>e mercy received by Yaśodā was most wonderful.

While thinking of this, Śukadeva Gosvāmī spoke this verse (Bhāgavatam 10.9.20).

Ś<u>ukadeva</u> affectionately refers to Mother Yaśodā as gopī, all<u>uding to her privileged status</u> as the wife of the king of the gopas.

The favor she obtained from Kṛṣṇa was never achieved by Kṛṣṇa's son Brahmā, by Kṛṣṇa's friend Śiva, or <u>by His dear</u> consort Lakṣmī, who always resides on His chest.

How then could lesser persons than these ever receive as much favor as Yasoda?
Y<u>aśodā is a better devotee than Lord Brahmā, Lord Śiva, and</u> th<u>e goddess Laksmī because all three of them merely worship</u> K<u>ṛṣṇa with reverence as the Supreme Godhead but she has</u> pure affection for Him as her son.

T<u>he Dāmodara pastime, in which Yaśodā tied Krsna with rope</u>, demonstrated the power of God to resist all attempts to capture Him, bu<u>t</u> when Yaśodā witnessed Krsna's omnipotence her pure maternal love only increased. To emphasize the unique position of Mother Yaśodā, in this verse Śrīla Śukadeva repeats three times the negation na.

The three greatest personages of the material world may obtain great favor from Kṛṣṇa, but they are unable to please Kṛṣṇa or obtain as much favor as Yaśodā.

Most people living in this material world are much less fortunate than Brahmā, Śiva, and Laksmī and cannot even imagine the sublime position of Mother Yaśodā.



To some pure Vaiṣṇavas, Kṛṣṇa grants vi-mukti, the superior liberation of elevation to His own world to live in His proximity in transcendental bliss.

Let Him grant this vimukti to fortunate souls, but even such Vaisnavas cannot expect the favor shown to Mother Yasodā.



The <u>Supreme Personality</u> of Godhead, Kṛṣṇa (<u>bhagavān devakī-putrah</u>), is the bestower of many benedictions, including liberation [kaivalya], or oneness with the Brahman effulgence (<u>kaivalyādy-akhila-pradaḥ</u>). For that Personality of Godhead, the gopīs always felt maternal love (<u>putra-snehasnutāny</u>), and K<u>ṛṣṇa sucked their breasts with full satisfaction (yāsām payāmsi apibat alam</u>). Therefore, because of their relationship as mother and son (<u>tāsām aviratam kṛṣṇe suta īkṣaṇam</u>), although the gopīs were engaged in various family activities (<u>kurvatīnām</u>), one should never think that they returned to this material world after leaving their bodies (na punaḥ ajñāna-sambhavaḥ samsāro kalpate). Parīkșit is now coming to the point of glorifying Kṛṣṇa's supermost devotees, the young gopīs.

But first he takes the opportunity to praise the elder gopīs, the mothers of Kṛṣṇa's cowherd friends.

While narrating the history of Pūtanā's attempt to kill Kṛṣṇa, Śukadeva spoke these two verses (Bhāgavatam 10.6.39–40) comparing Pūtanā's good fortune to that of these elder gopīs, from whose breasts Kṛṣṇa drank when He assumed the forms of His friends after Lord Brahmā had kidnapped the friends and calves. The son of Devakī drank the breast milk of these gopīs plentifully (alam) because He took the role of their sons for an entire year.

He bestowed this favor on them even though He is the Supreme Personality of Godhead, complete and self-satisfied in all respects.

Because of the motherly relationship these gopīs had with Him, they were freed from the prospect of future suffering in the cycle of birth and death; their material existence was finished once and for all, in that very lifetime. Conditioned living beings are trapped in samsāra due to their ignorance, but the elder gopīs were steeped in the highest spiritual knowledge.

They were the most perfect jñānīs because they constantly thought of Kṛṣṇa as their son.

Indeed, all transcendental knowledge and its corollary benefits are automatically included in pure Kṛṣṇa consciousness.

Other devotees might feed Kṛṣṇa without identifying Him as their son, but these women had already attained such a high realization of love of Godhead that they thought of Krsna with intense parental affection.

They loved Kṛṣṇa so much that milk would spontaneously flow from their breasts.

Kṛṣṇa is the giver of liberation and all other goals of life.

But as the word alam implies, Kṛṣ<u>na, not satisfied with the</u> milk of only His Mother Devakī, was eager to drink from the gopīs also.

He had every right to the breast milk of Devakī, but He abandoned her to drink extensively from these gopīs instead.

His love for them was that great.

Therefore, since He gives desired goals like liberation to other persons, why shouldn't He grant liberation to these women, whom He favored over His own mother by drinking their milk for one whole year?

How could they remain entangled in material existence?

pūtanā loka-bāla-ghnī rākṣasī rudhirāśanā jighāmsayāpi haraye stanam dattvāpa sad-gatim kim punaḥ śraddhayā bhakt yā kṛṣṇāya paramātmane yacchan priya-tamam kim nu raktās tan-mātaro yathā

Pūtanā was always hankering for the blood of human children (pūtanā loka-bāla-ghnī rākṣasī rudhirāśanā), and with that desire she came to kill Kṛṣṇa (jighāmsayāpi haraye); but because she offered her breast to the Lord (stanam dattvā), she attained the greatest achievement (āpa sad-gatim). What then is to be said (kim punaḥ) of those who had natural devotion and affection for Kṛṣṇa (śraddhayā bhaktyā kṛṣnāya paramātmane) as mothers and who offered Him their breasts to suck or offered something very dear, as a mother offers something to a child (yacchan priyatamam kim nu raktās tan-mataro yathā)? (Bhāgavatam 10.6.35–36) yātudhāny api sā svargam avāpa jananī-gatim kṛṣṇa-bhukta-stana-kṣīrāḥ kim u gāvo 'nu-mātaraḥ

Although she was a great witch (yātudhāny api), she attained the position of a mother in the transcendental world and thus achieved the highest perfection (sā svargam avāpa jananī-gatim). What then is to be said of the cows (kim u gāvo) whose nipples Kṛṣṇa sucked with great pleasure and who offered their milk very jubilantly with affection (kṛṣṇa-bhukta-stana-kṣīrāḥ) exactly like that of a mother (anumātaraḥ)? (Bhāgavatam 10.6.38)

Pūtanā attained a destination possible only for saintly devotees of the Personality of Godhead.

She became a mother of Kṛṣṇa like Devakī in the highest heaven, Śrī Vaikuņțha.

Svarga, or heaven, is commonly conceived as the world of unlimited happiness, but true happiness—in freedom from birth and death—is found only in the kingdom of God.



## So how can the elder gopīs of Vraja be expected to attain anything less?

The word sam-sāra may be taken to refer to liberation as the "complete essence" of the four goals of life, and this liberation may be understood to be jñāna-sambhavah, indicating that it is achieved by the discipline of philosophical knowledge.

But these gopis deserve more than this inadequate reward.

For these gopīs, liberation is inadequate because they possess pure devotion for Krsna, which includes knowledge, liberation, and everything else desirable.

Liberation, therefore, is meaningless for the gopis because they are already liberated. To devotees unconditionally surrendered to Him, Kṛṣṇa never gives mere liberation.

And from devotees full of material desires He withholds it.

Thus Śukadeva Gosvāmī calls Kṛṣṇa kaivalyādy-akhilārthadaḥ, He who destroys (dyati) all the inferior goals of life (arthas), headed by liberation (kaivalya), which in comparison to attainment of Śrī Vaikuntha are insignificant. Since Krsna is so kind even to materialistic devotees, why should He give mere liberation to absolutely selfless devotees like the gopis?

Th<u>e great achievement, even for the residents of Vaikuntha, is</u> the attainment of Goloka.

In the Sixth Canto of Śrīmad-Bhāgavatam (6.11.23) the demon Vrtra says: tr<u>ai-vargikāyāsa-vig</u>hātam asmatpatir vidhatte puruṣasya śakra tato 'numeyo bhagavat-prasādo yo durlabho 'kiñcana-gocaro 'nyaiḥ

O Indra (śakra)! Our Lord (asmat-patih) obstructs endeavors for artha, dharma and kāma (puruṣasya trai-vargika āyāsavighātam vidhatte). One should infer that this is the mercy of the Lord (tato anumeyo bhagavat-prasādo), which is rarely attained by others (yah anyaiḥ durlabhah) but attained by those with attachment to only the Lord (akiñcana-gocaro). Because efforts to attain dharma, artha, and kāma can achieve little more than the pain of the endeavor, the supreme protector of His devotees ruins a devotee's attempts to achieve these goals.

This should be understood as the greatest mercy of the allcompassionate Lord.

But don't some devotees of the Lord succeed in obtaining the three goals dharma, artha, and kāma?

Y<u>es</u>, but we should understand who is eligible to receive the Lord's mercy in full.

The Personality of Godhead gives full protection to devotees who are akiñcana (free from false identifications), who renounce everything material for His sake, who offer their very bodies and all bodily possessions for His service, and who have no support but Him. To devotees distracted by material desires, who fall short of the akiñcana standard, Krsna sometimes gives the benefits of dharma, artha, and kāma. Avarties (neophyte Vaisnavas, being more or less free from material desires, can at least aspire for the special mercy of the <u>nondevotees can never come near it..</u>

Nondevotees have no hope of gaining the Supreme Lord's favor, by which material entanglement is destroyed.

Vrtrāsura therefore tells Indra that being king of heaven is useless because Indra is not a pure devotee of the Personality of Godhead.

Vṛtra disdains Indra's heaven because Vṛtra has his eyes set on Śrī Vaikuṇṭha. A <u>similar</u> statement is found in the Fifth Canto of Śrīmad-Bhāgavatam (5.19.27):

satyam diśaty arthitam arthito nṛṇām naivārtha-do yat punar arthitā yataḥ svayam vidhatte bhajatām anicchatām icchāpidhānam nīja-pāda-pallavam

The Lord certainly gives (satyam diśaty) desired objects to devotees who request them (arthitam arthito nṛṇām), but he does not give those objects (na eva arthadah) in such a way that the devotee will ask again after finishing his enjoyment (yat punar arthitā yatah). In other words, he gives his lotus feet (svayam vidhatte nija-pāda-pallavam), which include all desirables (icchāpidhānam), to those worshippers (bhajatām) who do not even desire them (anicchatām).

For God no request is impossible to fulfill.

He is the root of the tree that can satisfy all desires.

Still, though people pray to Him for liberation and other perfections, He often refuses to give what is asked.

This means that when granting a request will result in the devotee's being impelled by still more desires, the Lord protects His devotee by withholding the desired object.

A person whom the Lord allows to become proficient in religious formularies may become greedy for the fruits of religiosity.

Elevated by piety and endowed with such fruits, the person may then become attracted to sense gratification.

Addicted to sense gratification, he may turn again to religiosity, just to get more of the same sense gratification.

Only rarely does anyone take proper advantage of the cycle of puruṣārthas, or goals in human life, by experiencing dharma, artha, and kāma, evaluating them realistically, and then redirecting his aim to mokṣa.

Then, if such a rare person is truly fortunate, after achieving liberation he may come in contact with pure devotees of the Supreme Lord, learn the insignificance of liberation, and strive for bhakti.