## Laghu - Bhāgavatāmṛta

# Part-1 The Sweetness of Kṛṣṇa

# Chapter-3

# Description of the Līlāvatāras

<u>śrī-hamsah</u> | śrī-dvitīye – <u>tubhyam ca nārada bhṛśam bhagavān vivṛddhabhāvena sādhu parituṣṭa uvāca yogam | jñānam ca bhāgavatam ātma-satattva-dīpam yad vāsudeva-śaraņā vidur añjasaiva || iti |</u>

Hamsa is described in the Second Canto:

O Nārada (nāradā)! The Lord as Hamṣa (bhagavān), greatly pleased (bhṛśaṁ sādhu parituṣṭa) with your prema (tubhyaṁ vivṛddha-bhāvena), spoke about (uvāca) bhakti-yoga (yogam), knowledge (jñānaṁ) about himself (bhāgavatam) and also that knowledge which removes ignorance in the jīva (ātma-satattvadīpaṁ), both of which (yad) the pure devotees (vāsudevaśaraṇā) understand (viduh) with joy (añjasā eva). SB 2.7.19

• <u>Ca indicates not only Nārada but the Kumāras and others.</u>

• The Lord spoke to you and others about yoga, bhakti-yoga, and knowledge concerning the Lord (bhāgavatam jñānam) and concerning (dīpam) the svarūpa (satattvam) of the jīva, which those who are surrendered to the Lord can easily understand.

• <u>Others however, even with effort, cannot understand</u> these subjects.

<u>śakto 'khila-viveke 'ham kṣīra-nīra-vibhāgavat</u> | iti vyañjann ayam rāja-hamso vyaktim jalād gataḥ ||

Since I am capable (aham śaktah) of distinguishing the essence of all things (akhila-viveke), as if separating milk from water when mixed (ksīra-nīra-vibhāgavat), the Lord appeared from the water (iti ayam vyañjann jalād gataḥ) as a swan (rāja-hamsah vyaktim).

<u>śrī-dhruva-priyah</u> | tatraiva – vi<u>ddhah sapatny-udita-patribhir anti rājño</u> bālo 'pi sann upagatas tapase vanāni | tasmā adād dhruva-gatim gṛṇate prasanno divyāḥ stuvanti munayo yad upary-adhastāt || iti |

Dhruva-priya is described in the Second Canto also: Pierced (viddhaḥ) by the arrows of the words of his step-mother (sapatny-udita-patribhir), Dhruva, only a boy (bālo 'pi sann), left the King (anti rājño) and went to the forest (vanāni upagataah) to perform penance (tapase). Being pleased with Dhruva who offered prayers (gṛṇate prasanno), the Lord gave him Dhuryaloka (tasmā adād dhruva-gatim), which the seven sages (yad munayah) situated above and below in the sky (divyāḥ uparyadhastāt) praise (stuvanti). SB 2.7.8

• B<u>eing pierced by the words of the co-wife Suruci in</u> the presence of the king Uttānapāda, th<u>e young boy</u> Dhruva went to the forest to perform penance because he could not tolerate the situation, being a kṣatriya.

• Pleased with Dhruva who was praising him (grnate), the Lord gave him Dhruva-loka, which those dwelling above such as Bhrgu and those dwelling below such as the seven sages, praise.

svāyambhuve 'vatārokter n<u>āmnaś</u> cākathanād iha | yajñādīnām ca tatroktyā pāriśeṣya-pramāṇataḥ || prasiddhyā pṛśni-garbheti tad-ākhyāsya nigadyate | hantāyam adrir ity ādau padye govardhanādrivat ||

In <u>narrating</u> the avatāras (avatāra ukteh) appearing during the Svāyambhuva Manvantara (svāyambhuve), the name Dhruvapriya is not mentioned (iha nāmnaś ca akathanād). However, after excluding the other names (yajñādīnām ca tatroktyā), the remaining name (pāriśeșya) of Prśni-garbha (prśni-garbha iti prasiddhyā) should be concluded to be the same person (pramāņatah tad-ākhyāsya nigadyate). This is similar to concluding that Govardhana is meant when the word mountain (govardhana adrivat) is mentioned in the Bhagavatam verse 10.21.18 (hantāyam adrir ity ādau padye)

• <u>Did the Lord come from Vaikuntha, and after giving</u> Dhruva benedictions did he return to the spiritual world?

• Or did the Lord appear through a mother and father?

• This is not clear from the previous verse.

• The present verse clarifies.

• T<u>he names and activities of the avatāras during the</u> Sv<u>āyambhuva Manvantara are described</u> in B<u>hāgavatam 2.7, but there Pṛśni-garbha is not</u> mentioned.

• And Dhruva-priya is also not mentioned there by name, though giving benedictions to Dhruva is mentioned in the verse quoted above.

• Giving benedictions to Dhruva cannot be attributed to any of the other avatāras listed there.

 Because Prśni-garbha's actions are mentioned as taking place in the Svāyambhuva Manvantara in the Tenth Canto, the avatāra who gave benedictions to Dhruva should be equated with Prśni-garbha (born from Prśni).

• An example of a general description indicating a particular object is then illustrated.

• Just as hantāyam adri refers to Govardhana from the context, so a conclusion is reached here in the same manner.

#### t<u>athā śrī-daśam</u>e –

tvam eva pūrva-sarge 'bhūḥ p<u>rśniḥ svāyambhuve sati</u> | t<u>adāyaṁ sutapā nāma prajāpatir akalmaṣaḥ |</u> ad<u>rstvānyatamaṁ loke śīlaudārya-guṇaiḥ samam</u> ahaṁ suto vām abhavaṁ pṛśnigarbha iti smṛtaḥ || iti |

Thus it says in the Tenth Canto:

My dear mother, best of the chaste (sati), in your previous birth (pūrvasarge), in the Svāyambhuva millennium (svāyambhuve), you were known as Prśni (tvam eva prśnih abhūh), and Vasudeva (tadā ayam), who was the most pious Prajāpati (prajāpatir akalmaṣaḥ), was named Sutapā (sutapā nāma). Since I found no one else as highly elevated as you (adṛṣṭvā anyatamam loke) in simplicity and other qualities of good character (śīlaudārya-guṇaiḥ samam), I appeared in this world (aham abhavan) as Prśnigarbha (prśnigarbha iti smṛtaḥ), or one who is celebrated as having taken birth from Pṛśni (suto vām). SB 10.3.32,41

• T<u>hese are Kṛṣṇa's words. "O Devakī (sati)! You wer</u>e Pṛśni, and Vasudeva was Sutapā."

asyātra caritānuktyā nāmānuktyā ca tatra vai | parasparam apekṣitvād yuktā caikatra saṅgatiḥ ||

Since the activities of the son of Pṛśni (asya carita) are not mentioned in the quotation from the Tenth Canto (atra anuktyā) and Pṛśni's name is not mentioned in Second Canto quote (nāma anuktyā ca tatra vai), the two can be taken as the same person (yuktā ekatra saṅgatiḥ) because of the need for both name and activities for avatāra descriptions (parasparam apekṣitvād).

• <u>Atra refers to the Tenth Canto just quoted where</u> activities of the son of Prsni are not mentioned.

• Tatra refers to the Second Canto where the name is not mentioned.

atrāgamana-mātreņa yadi syād avatāratā | anyatrāpi prasajyeta yathestam tat-prakalpanā ||

If one were to argue that the Lord could be considered a separate avatāra (yadi syād avatāratā) for just approaching Dhruva (atra yatheṣṭaṁ āgamana-mātreṇa), this should apply to other forms of the Lord also (tat-prakalpanā anyatrāpi prasajyeta).

• Is it possible that he who blessed Prsni and he who blessed Dhruva could be considered two separate avatāras (even though it is one person)?

• If that were so, then would not Rāma or Kṛṣṇa appear as different avatāras every time they bestowed benedictions to different devotees?