Laghu - Bhāgavatāmṛta

Part-1 The Sweetness of Kṛṣṇa

Chapter-5 Discussion of the Parāvasthā forms

tatra tv akhilānām eva sa bhagavan-nāmnām kāraņāny abhavan | tataś ca tat-kāla-kṛtānām teṣām aśeṣāṇām evācyuta-nāmnām anavaratam aneka-janmasu vardhita-vidveṣānubandhicitto vinindana-santarjanādiṣūccāraṇam akarot | tac ca rūpam utphulla-padmadalāmalākṣam aty-ujjvala-pīta-vastra-dhāry amala-kirīṭa-keyūra-hāra-kaṭakādi-śobhitam udāra-catur-bāhu-śaṅkha-cakra-gadā-padma-dharam atiprarūḍha-vairānubhāvād aṭanabhojana-snānāsana-śayanādiṣv aśeṣāvasthāntareṣu naivāpayayāv asyātma-cetasaḥ ||46||

The essential cause of all names of the Lord (tatra tv akhilānām bhagavan-nāmnām kāraņāny) lies in Krsna (sah eva abhavat). Śiśupāla, having a mind absorbed in enmity to the Lord (vardhita-vidvesānubandhi-cittah) for continuous, countless births (anavaratam aneka-janmasu), uttered (uccāraņam akarot) the unlimited names of the Lord (teṣām aśeṣāṇām evācyuta-nāmnām) produced through his pastimes at that time (tataś ca tat-kālakrtānām). Moreover Krsna's form (tat ca rūpam), with eyes like unfurled lotus petals (utphulla-padma-dala amala akṣam), wearing (sobhitam) pure crown (amala-kirīța), armlets, necklaces and bracelets (keyūra-hāra-katakādi), clothed in bright yellow cloth (atyujjvala-pīta-vastra-dhāry) and holding in his four broad arms the conch, cakra, club and lotus (udāra-catur-bāhu-śankha-cakra-gadā-padma-dharam), did not leave the mind of Śiśupāla at all (asya ātma-cetasah na eva āpayayāv), because of his deep enmity (atiprarūdha-vairānubhāvād), while he walked, ate, bathed, sat and slept (atana-bhojanasnāna-āsana-śayana-ādiṣ<u>u).</u>

• This section explains that because Śiśupāla's mind was absorbed in the form of Kṛṣṇa which constantly revealed his energy (śakti) of attractiveness, which brings about liberation, he attained liberation when killed by Kṛṣṇa.

D

- <u>Attractiveness is of two types</u>: <u>sweetness of the name</u> and sweetness of the form. Both are manifest in Kṛṣṇa.
- The causes of all the names of the husband of Lakṣmī, such as being the killer of demons, having lotus eyes, holding a bow and riding Garuḍa, were all present in Kṛṣṇa (tatra).

• <u>Being born of Vasudeva is a cause, and the resulting name is</u> Vāsudeva.

• By such sweet names, Kṛṣṇa displayed attractiveness.

• By these names Śiśupāla understood that the person before him was Viṣṇu.

• However, with heart absorbed in hatred for many continuous births in the past, Sisupāla criticized Kṛṣṇa using those attractive names arising from the performance of his pastimes at that time.

• <u>Thus</u>, his mind became absorbed in Kṛṣṇa out of hatred. As well, his mind became attracted by the sweetness of Kṛṣṇa's form.

• That form did not leave Śiśupāla's mind (asya cetasaḥ), which was fixed in Kṛṣṇa (ātmā).

• Under what conditions?

• He was absorbed while he was walking, eating, bathing, sitting and sleeping, because of hatred.

[•] The rest is clear.

Text-47

tatas tam evākrośeṣūccārayams tam eva hṛdayena dhārayann ātma-vadhāya yāvad-bhagavad-dhastacakrāmśu-mālojjvalam akṣaya-tejaḥ-svarūpam brahmabhūtam apagata-dveṣādi-doṣam bhagavantam adrākṣīt

Abusing Kṛṣṇa by his (names) (tatah tam eva ākrośesu uccārayan) and holding his (form in his hear) (tam eva hrdayena dhārayann), Śiśupāla, freed from the fault of hatred (apagata-dvesādi-dosam), finally saw the Lord (yāvad bhagavantam adrākṣīt) with a brilliant indestructible form (akṣaya-tejaḥ-svarūpam), glowing with rays of his cakra (cakrāmśu-mālojjvalam) held in his hand (yāvad-bhagavad-dhasta) and meant for killing him (<u>ātma-vadhāya</u>).

• Though his utterance of Krsna's name and meditation on his form was done with hatred, he became free of fault – just as if being touched by the Lord – when he was killed.

• Then by the touch of the cakra he saw the real form of the Lord and attained prema.

• Thus, he realized Kṛṣṇa

Text-48

tāvac ca bhagavac-cakreņāśu vyāpāditas tat-smaraņadagdhākhilāgha-sa<u>ñcayo</u> bhagavatāntam upanītas tasminn eva layam upayayau

Killed quickly by the cakra of the Lord (bhagavat-cakreṇa āśu vyāpāditah), and having his piles of sin (akhila-aghasañcayah) burned by remembrance of the Lord (tatsmaraṇa-dagdha), he was brought to the Lord (bhagavata antam upanītah) and enjoyed the embrace of the Lord (tasminn eva layam upayayau).

• Endowed with such qualification and freed from his material body by Kṛṣṇa, he attained association of the Lord. According to Haima-kośa, anta means svarūpa, near, edge, certainty and destruction.

• Thus bhagavatāntam means "brought near the Lord." Laya means embrace.

• <u>Thus, though Nrsimha, Rāma and Kṛṣṇa are non-different in</u> svarūpa, <u>Krsna is svayam-rūpa</u>, endowed with all qualities which are fully manifested all the time.

This gives liberation.

• <u>Śiśupāla was liberated by the attractiveness of Kṛṣṇa</u>, because of his fully manifested qualities.

• Because Nṛsimha and Rāma did not manifest all those qualities, they did not liberate him even though they killed him.

Text-49

etat tavākhilam mayābhihitam | ayam hi bhagavān kīrtitaś ca samsmṛtaś ca dveṣānubandhenāpi akhilasurāsurādi-durlabham phalam prayacchati kim uta samyag-bhaktimatām iti ||49|| Viṣṇu Purāṇa 4.15.17

I have explained all this to you (etat tava akhilam mayā abhihitam). Kṛṣṇa, bhagavān (ayam hi bhagavān), glorified and remembered (kīrtitaś ca samsmrtaś ca) even with hatred (dvesānubandhenāpi) bestows a result (moksa) (phalam prayacchati) unattained by the devatās or demons (akhila-surāsurādi-durlabham). What then can be said (kim uta) of the results attained by the devotee (samyag-bhaktimatām iti)?

- Whatever you have asked, I have explained.
- The meaning is clear.
- Bhagavān is a compound meaning "possessing all wonderful qualities."
- Because of the statement kṛṣṇas tu bhagavān svayam, bhagavān here means svayam-rūpa Kṛṣṇa.
- The result attained by the demons is liberation.

• The devotees, however, in their state of liberation attain the Lord who comes under their control completely.

• Thus the sage is saying that bhakti to the Lord is necessary and hatred is despicable.

 Thus it is said in Padma Purāņa:
yogibhir drśyate bhaktyā nābhaktyā drśyate kvacit drastum na śakyo rosāc ca matsarāc ca janārdanaņ

The Lord (janārdanaḥ) is seen by the devotees (yogibhir dṛśyate) by devotion (bhaktyā) and is never seen without devotion (nābhaktyā dṛśyate kvacit). He cannot be seen (draṣṭum na śakyah) by anger or hatred (roṣāc ca matsarāc ca).

• Thus the result of hatred was only absoption in the Lord (not that all types of absorption yield the same result).

• That is meaning of the following verse spoken by Nārada:

katamo 'pi na venah syāt pañcānām puruṣam prati tasmāt kenāpy upāyena manaḥ kṛṣṇe niveśayet

Any of the five types of persons (katamah api pañcānām), but not King Vena (na venaḥ), will attain their objectives in relation to the Lord (syāt puruṣam prati). Therefore (tasmāt), one should somehow think of Kṛṣṇa (manaḥ kṛṣṇe niveśayet), by one of the favorable methods (kenāpy upāyena). SB 7.1.32