Śrīmad-Bhāgavatam

Canto Two

With the Sārārtha-darśinī commentary

by Śrīla Viśvanātha Cakravartī Țhākura

Canto Two – Chapter Nine

Manifestation of the Bhāgavatam

Answers by Citing the Lord's Version

Section – VI

Lord speaks Catuh Sloki Bhagavatam (33-38)

Catuh Sloki 2 – About Lord's Yoga maya and Maha maya





Thus yoga-māyā acts only favorably.

Since both of these should be defined in the verse, I will impart this knowledge to you.

In verse 27 you have asked about the qualities of māyā and yoga-māyā (yathātma-māyā-yogena).

I am now giving the answer by taking two meanings to the verse.

)Vidye

By this māyā nothing is perceived except a real object (artham); that is, only a real object is perceived. onthon prefigeta gavidet religions the kerels And by this māyā (yat) there is perception without a real object.

This means that by this māyā real objects are not perceived, but rather; false objects are perceived.



In a house, an existing pot or cloth is perceived because of the light of a lamp, but by taking the lamp away, the pot or cloth which previously existed does not become non-existent.

A snake o'r scorpion which was a cause of fear is perceived as non-existent at that time.

By the function of vidyā, the liberated jīva perceives knowledge and bliss in relation to the self.

It is not like the state of avidyā, where this perception (about knowledge and bliss) is absent.

In that state, body and its related lamentation and illusion, which are actually not related to the self, are not perceived.

An example of avidyā is given.

It is like darkness.

Because of darkness a pot or cloth in the house are not perceived to exist.

However, a snake or thief, though not existing there, cause fear because of their possible existence, and thus, are perceived to exist where they actually do not exist.

Because of avidyā, the <u>conditioned jīva does not perceive</u> knowledge and bliss, even though they exist with an eternal relationship to the self. The conditioned jīva perceives the body, and lamentation and confusion related to the body appear to be related to self, though actually they are not related to the self.

<u>Though flowers and horns exist</u>, be<u>cause they are not related to sky</u> and rabbit, a flower in the sky and a rabbit with horns are false.

Similarly, though bodies and lamentation, confusion, happiness and distress all exist as expansions of real matter, the body, lamentation, confusion, happiness and distress are all called false in the scriptures because they have no real relation to the jīva.

Though the relationship of the jīva with the body is false, it is produced by avidyā and destroyed by vidyā.

Th<u>us vidyā is compared to light</u> and <u>avidyā is compared to</u> darkness.

In the Eighth Canto this is proved in the statement anidram avranam chāyā-tapau yatra na grdhra-pakṣau: the Lord is constantly witnessing (anidram), but not affected (avranam), who has no vidyā and avidyā like the jīva (chāyā ātapau yatra na grdhra-pakṣau). (SB 8.5.27)