Śrīmad-Bhāgavatam Canto Three

With the
Sārārtha-darśinī commentary

by
Śrīla Viśvanātha Cakravartī Ţhākura

Canto Three – Chapter Five

Maitreya Describes Creation

Vidura's Talks with Maitreya

Section – II

Vidura's exclusive interest in Krishna-katha (10-16)

|| 3.5.10 ||

parāvareṣām bhagavan vratāni śrutāni me vyāsa-mukhād abhīkṣṇam atṛpnuma kṣulla-sukhāvahānām teṣām ṛte kṛṣṇa-kathāmṛtaughāt

Great person (bhagavan)! I have heard repeatedly (śrutāni me abhīkṣṇam) of various natures of high and low persons (para avareṣām (ratāni)) from the mouth of Vyāsadeva (vyāsa-mukhāt). I have been satiated with hearing about those natures (teṣām atṛpnuma) which give insignificant happiness (kṣulla sukha-avahānām), being devoid of (rte) the great sweetness of Kṛṣṇa's pastimes (kṛṣṇa-katha amṛta-oghāt).

"But you have heard all of this in Mahābhārata."

This verse answers.

I heard about the natures (vratāni) of high entities such as devatās and low entities such as animals.

I was satiated with them (atrpnumah).

The usage is like nāgnis tṛpyati kāṣṭhānām: a fire is not satisfied by sticks of wood.

Teṣam in the genitive case indicates that he was actually not satisfied with them.

They give insignificant happiness, being without the abundant nectar of Kṛṣṇa's pastimes.

This indicates "Whatever I have asked about, you should speak about but mixed with the sweetness of Kṛṣṇa's pastimes."

|| 3.5.11 ||

kas tṛpnuyāt tīrtha-pado 'bhidhānāt satreṣu vaḥ sūribhir īḍyamānāt yaḥ karṇa-nāḍīm puruṣasya yāto bhava-pradām geha-ratim chinatti

What man can ever be fully satiated (kah tṛpnuyāt) with hearing the names of Kṛṣṇa (tīrtha-padah abhidhānāt), glorified by great sages (sūribhih īḍyamānāt) in your assembly (vaḥ satreṣu)? Entering the ears (puruṣasya karṇa-nāḍīm yātah), those topics (yaḥ) destroy attraction to material life (geha-ratim chinatti), the cause of material existence (bhava-pradām).

"But you have heard plentiful discussions about Kṛṣṇa."

This verse answers.

If even the names (abhidhānāt) cannot full satiated a person, what to speak of discussions of Kṛṣṇa's pastimes?

Abhidhānāt can also refer to discussions about Kṛṣṇa.

The ablative indicates a missing participle: who can be satiated, from (hearing) the names of Kṛṣṇa.

|| 3.5.12 ||

munir vivakṣur bhagavad-guṇānām sakhāpi te bhāratam āha kṛṣṇaḥ yasmin nṛṇām grāmya-sukhānuvādair matir gṛhītā nu hareḥ kathāyām

O sage! Your friend Vedavyāsa (te sakhāpi munih kṛṣṇah), desiring to describe (vivakṣuh) the qualities of the Lord (bhagavad-guṇānām), wrote Mahābhārata (bhāratam āha). In that work (yasmin) he attracted men's attention (gṛhītā nṛṇām matih) to topics of the Lord (hareḥ kathāyām) through topics about material happiness (grāmya-sukha anuvādaih).

The essence of the Mahābhārata is also the topics of Kṛṣṇa.

Munih kṛṣṇaḥ is Vedavyāsa.

Guṇānām in genitive stands for the accusative.

Or it can indicate the abundance of the Lord's qualities.

The minds of men absorbed in material pleasure became controlled by Vyāsa through stories about artha and kāma in order that their minds enter into sections such as Bhagavad-gītā and Nārāyaṇīya.

They then became attracted to those topics.

Otherwise, not listening to spiritual topics, they would never come near them.

Itihāsa-samucchaya says:

kāmino varṇayan kāmān lobham lubdhasya varṇayan naraḥ kim phalam āpnoti kupe 'ndham iva pātayan loka-cittāvatārārtham varṇayitvātra tena tau itihāsaiḥ pavitrārthaih punar atraiva ninditau anyathā ghora-samsāra-bandha-hetu-janasya tau varnayet sa katham vidvān mahā-kāruṇiko muniḥ

What result can be attained by describing the lust of lusty men and the greed of greedy men, except to send them into the darkness of a well? In the Mahābhārata, lust and greed are described to purify people's hearts, and are moreover condemned by stories with pure meaning. Otherwise, why would the most merciful and learned Vyāsa describe lust and greed, the cause of bondage to terrible material existence?